

Defining Domain-Specific Modeling Languages

1st Oct 2008

Juha-Pekka Tolvanen MetaCase

Relevant language classifications to start with

- General-Purpose / <u>Domain-Specific</u>
 - Narrow area of interest
 - Often inside one company only
- Problem Domain / Solution Domain
 - Higher abstraction leads to improved productivity
- External / Embedded (internal)
 - Guide developers
 - Scalable to a larger potential developer base
- Graphical / Text / Matrix / Table / Map etc.
 - Easy to read, understand and communicate with
 - Humans are good at spotting visual patterns
- Static structures / Behavior

How do we use models?



Demo: Domain-Specific Modeling

Does it work? Some industry examples

- Automotive infotainment MMI
- E-commerce visitor navigation
- Financial contracts
- IMS Service Creation
- Insurance products
- Message translation and validation in C³ system
- Pacemaker product line
- Phone switch configuration
- Phone UI applications
- Professional radio applications
- SIM applications
- Train interlocking control
- Voice control of home automation

Experiences from practice









"5-fold productivity increase when compared to standard development methods"

"The quality of the generated code is clearly better, simply because the modeling language **rules out errors**"

"Eliminated our need to outsource software development activities"

"A module that was expected to take 2 weeks now **took 1 day** from the start of the design to the finished product"

MDA: Model Driven Architecture

- Hard to pin down: all things to all men
- Strong lock-in to OMG
 - Initially "you must use UML"
 - But later, in MDA manifesto, Booch et al. say: "The full value of MDA is only achieved when the modeling concepts map directly to domain concepts rather than computer technology concepts"
 - Now: "you can have any language you like, as long as it's like UML" – only allowed to build languages with MOF
- Schism into two schools of thought:
 - Elaborationist (OMG): Model a bit, transform, edit transformed models, generate, edit generated code
 - Translationist (XUML): Generate directly from high level UML-like models

MDA Pros & Cons

- + OMG: Some claim to vendor-independence (IBM?)
- Standard is missing major areas
 - Based on UML, largest and most bug-ridden standard
- Large number of other coupled standards
 - MOF, XMI, OCL, QVT all moving targets, unproven
- + Focused on one domain anyway
 - + Business apps with db and web or GUI front-end
 - + Largely an accident: just didn't know other domains
- + Vendors will make something work
 - But you won't be able to make your own language
- Productivity gains minimal
 - E.g. +30% in vendor-sponsored test

SF: Software Factories

- Strongly Microsoft-oriented
 - But main figures from outside Microsoft:
 Greenfield: Rational, Short: TI, Cook: IBM, Kent: Kent
- Grand Unified(?) Theory
 - 666 pages
 - Patterns, AOP, reuse, platforms, components, services
 - DSLs, generators, frameworks
- Vision changed under commercial pressure
 - MS modeling tools immature \Rightarrow de-emphasize models
- Focus on MS partners building and selling DSLs
 - ISV sells same DSM solution to many companies
 - Offsets the "massive effort"* of using their tools
 - *Quote from Prashant Sridharan, MS lead product manager

SF Pros & Cons

+ Microsoft: Massive resources, will get it made:

Windows	1.0	2.0	3.0	3.1
announced	released	released	released	released
1983	1985	1987	1990	1992

- Microsoft: too many cooks and agendas
 - Building meta-tools requires strong leadership, focus
 - Will the project be continued (moving back to UML?)
- MS team lacked real-world experience in DSM
 - Will need a rewrite, but will it happen?
- + Basic ideas are sound
 - + Book mostly better than later marketing

Domain-Specific Modeling

- Focus on a narrow area of interest => <u>Domain</u>
- Language is Domain-Specific
 - Works for one application domain, framework, product family etc.
 - Language has concepts people are already familiar with
 - Models used to solve the problem, not to visualize code
 - Generator is Domain-Specific
 - Generate just the code needed from models
 - Efficient full code
 - No manual coding afterwards
 - No reason for round-tripping
 - Generator links to existing primitives/components/platform services etc.

DSM Pros & Cons

- + Fundamental productivity and quality improvements
 - 300% faster in academic study, 1000% reported by companies
 - + 50% less errors in an academic study
- + Gives full control to the company
 - + Experienced developers are sitting in the driver's seat
- Requires expertise and resources from the company
- Minimal vendor lock
 - You can translate & transform models to other tools and formats
- Only few industry strength tools available
 - Scalability to a larger number of developers
 - Do not handle evolution and maintenance

The steps of defining a DSM solution

- 1. Identify abstractions
 - Concepts and how they work together
- 2. Specify the metamodel
 - Language concepts and their rules
- 3. Create the notation
 - Representation of models
- 4. Define the generators
 - Various outputs and analysis of the models
- Apply and refine existing components and libraries
- The process is iterative: try solution with examples
 - Define part of the metamodel, model with it, define generator, run generator to compare with reference code, extend the metamodel, model some more, ...

Implementing Domain-Specific Modeling languages

- The most important asset
 - becomes the "source (code)"
 - application engineers use it
 - generator and framework largely invisible
- Often includes elements of familiar modeling paradigms
 - state machine
 - flow model
 - data structure, etc.
- Language specified as a metamodel
- Profiles are reduced form of metamodeling
 - Poor man's solution



Identifying language constructs

- Use domain concepts directly as modeling constructs
 - already known and used
 - established semantics exist
 - natural to operate with
 - easy to understand and remember
- Focus on expressing design space with the language
 - use parameters of variation space
 - try to minimize the need for modeling
- Apply suitable computational model(s) as a starting point
- Build iteratively: define part of language, model with it, modify and extend further, model again...

Metamodel of wristwatch apps



Demo on language definition

Demo available as flash video at: http://www.metacase.com/papers/DSM_Definition.html

Generator

- Generator translates the computational model into a required output
 - 1. crawls through the models \rightarrow navigation according to metamodel
 - 2. extracts required information \rightarrow access data in models
 - 3. translates it into the code \rightarrow translation semantics and rules
 - 4. using some output format \rightarrow possibility to define output format



Types of generator facilities

- Programming language accessing model through API
 - Direct access, but low level, high coupling with tool
 - Need something better, designed just for generation
- Model visitor
 - Map each model structure to a code structure
 - Limited to simple one-to-one mappings
- Output template
 - Single file, code + escaped <%generator commands%>
- Crawler: Model navigation and output streams
 - Multi-file, code quoted, native generator commands
- Generator generators
 - Tempting, alluring, mostly unnecessary

How to design a generator

- Make generator for your situation only
 - Trying to make general purpose generator often fails
 - Have (or create) reference implementation
- Put domain rules up-front to the language
 - Generator definition easier when the input is correct
 - Models should be impossible to create wrongly for generation
- Keep generator modular to reflect changes
 - e.g. structure generator based on modeling languages, generated files, modeling concepts
- Make generated code readable ("good looking")
 - To be used later while debugging the code, executing it in a simulator, and while implementing the generator
 - Follow good coding standards, include comments, have data to link back to models (e.g. in comment or via e.g. simulator)

Domain framework

- Provides an interface for the target platform and programming language
- Raise the level of abstraction on the platform side
- Achieved by atomic implementations of commonalities and variabilities
 - especially for behavior
 - implementation as templates and components
- Include interface for the code to be generated
 - often the only needed part for static variation (e.g. for XML schema)



DSM Solution Development Time



© 2008 JPT/MetaCase

Choosing a good domain for DSM

If you have more than one candidate, pick best first

- Maturity of target business area in your company?
- Low coupling with external organizations?
- Related to other candidate domains?
- Extent of customization per customer?
- Good existing source code examples?
- In-house framework?
- Software development process maturity?
 - Should be mature, but not too locked down
- Availability of architect / expert developers?
 - Never, ever try building DSM with summer interns!

Organizing for DSM

- Building a DSM solution requires few resources
 - Quality not quantity
 - For lower-level DSM tools, may need basic coders too
 - May be best to start with high-level, go low later if needed
- Team needs both domain and code expertise
 - Best candidates are normally expert developers
- Each member must balance elegance with pragmatism
- Look for people who build macros and templates:
 - For the whole team, not just themselves
 - Prepared to maintain and document them

Success factors

- A narrow focus
 - the narrower the better, later easier to extend
- Own the framework (or part of it)
 - e.g. in-house application framework
- Apply on domains where "repetition" (ROI)
 - multiple products or features, developers, targets
- Have experience in the domain
 - have made several similar kind applications already
- Have expertise
 - one of the top three who built the first products
 - an experienced developer (author): makes the generator
- Tools that support both language definition and use
 - evolution and iterative development

Thank you!

Question and comments?



Contact: jpt@metacase.com

MetaCase Ylistönmäentie 31 FI-40500 Jyväskylä, Finland Phone +358 14 4451 400 Fax +358 14 4451 405

© 2008 JPT/MetaCase

For more information...

- Tutorial tomorrow (13:00 17:00)
- Domain-Specific Modeling, Wiley-IEEE, 2008

